

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/761,125	01/16/2001	Bernard G. Harter	3174-000005	2908		
7	590 03/26/2002					
Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.			EXAMINER			
P. O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hil	ls, MI 48303		LAM, T	LAM, THANH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2834			
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 09/761,125	Applicant(s)	Harter e	t al		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Thanh Lam		2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	spondence addr	ess		
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE1_	MONTH	H(S) FROM			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C		nt, however,	may a reply be ti	mely filed		
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	cation. s, a reply within the statu	itory minimun	n of thirty (30) d	ays will		
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and wil	expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	the mailing date of this		
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b						
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			<u> </u>	•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa				ne merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>		is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn f	rom consideration.		
5)			is/are allowed			
6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are rejected.				
7)			is/are objecte	d to.		
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-26</u>	are subje	ect to restric	ction and/or el	ection requirement.		
Application Papers						
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/arc	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌	approved	b) disappro	ved.		
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	niner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a))-(d).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	*					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha						
2. U Certified copies of the priority documents ha						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed detailed detailed detailed detailed detailed detailed detailed detailed d	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National	Stage		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·		(e).			
Attachment(s)						

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patant Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) Other:

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

19) Notice of Informel Petent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09761125 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a stator core structure, classified in class 310, subclass 254.
 - II. Claims 23-26, drawn to a method of winding, classified in class 310, subclass 605.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of group I drawn the stator core and teeth structure while the method drawn to a winding method as claimed in group II. They are clearly distinct from one to another.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

SPECIES/CLAIMS	FIGURES
A/7-18	3
B/19-22	2

Application/Control Number: 09761125 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Wiggins on 3/22/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09761125

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2834

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626.

Thanh Lam

March 22, 2002